UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

GROWTH, WATER RELATION, YIELD AND CROP QUALITY OF ARABICA COFFEE IN RESPONSE TO WATER STRESS AND DEFICIT IRRIGATION

TESFAYE SHIMBER GESSESE.

GROWTH, WATER RELATION, YIELD AND CROP QUALITY OF ARABICA COFFEE IN RESPONSE TO WATER STRESS AND DEFICIT IRRIGATION

By

TESFAYE SHIMBER GESSESE

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2006

DEDICATION

This manuscript is dedicated to my beloved parents, Ato Shimber Gessese and W/o Ayelech Degu, to my wife, W/o Sara Alemu and my children, Emnet Tesfaye, Amanuel Tesfaye and Metsenanat Tesfaye.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

GROWTH, WATER RELATION, YIELD AND CROP QUALITY OF ARABICA COFFEE IN RESPONSE TO WATER STRESS AND DEFICIT IRRIGATION

By

TESFAYE SHIMBER GESSESE

February 2006

Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd Razi Ismail, PhD

Faculty : Agriculture

Coffee (*Coffea Arabica* L.) is the single most important commodity crop that comes after petroleum in the world market. It plays a significant role in the economy of Ethiopia, contributing over 60% of the nation's foreign exchange earnings, 30% of the government's direct revenue, 8% output of the agricultural sector and 4% of the gross domestic production. In spite of the importance of the crop in the country's economy, its average national yield is very low primarily because of traditional production technologies. Apart from hereditary characteristics of the trees, seasonal water stress and recurrent drought are among the major factors which account for low yields of the crop in most coffee growing regions of the country. In the present study, attempt was made to identify water stress tolerant Arabica coffee cultivars and deficit irrigation practices that could improve growth, yield, quality and water use efficiency of the crop under both protected environment and field condition in Ethiopia. Both rain shelter and field experiments were carried out in a randomized complete block design with three replications in the rain shelter and four replications in the field. In the first rain shelter

than NDI for coffee production particularly in areas where water is scarce and dry spells are prolonged. On the other hand, the effect of supplemental deficit irrigation on plant water relations, crop yield and quality was studied in the field using young coffee stands of three cultivars (F-59, 74110 and 75227). Two irrigation treatments, namely, supplemental full irrigation (SFI) and supplemental deficit irrigation (SDI), applied in the conventional way, were compared against rain fed (RF) control. SFI consistently increased soil moisture content, leaf RWC and g_s during the dry period, but there was no difference between the treatments in the main wet season. Besides, SFI significantly increased coffee yield, but the difference between SFI and SDI was not significant and yet SDI had 21 – 24% yield advantage over the RF treatment. On the other hand, overall quality of coffee beans was considerably increased by SDI and RF treatments. Therefore, SDI seems to be more effective than SFI and it can be used as an option next to PRD for coffee production in drier areas.

study, twenty four known cultivars, which are indigenous to the country, were subjected to a soil drying treatment to identify those tolerant genotypes. Variations among the cultivars for mean stress scores, rate of recovery from drought, root to shoot ratio, concentration of inorganic solutes (K, Ca and Mg) in leaves, specific leaf area and survival rate showed that some of the genotypes, such as 74110, 74112 and 8/85, were less sensitive to water stress at seedling stage. On the other hand, in an experiment where three irrigation regimes (well watering, WW, normal deficit irrigation, NDI, and partial root zone drying, PRD) were studied on cultivar F-59 grown in a rain shelter, it was found that NDI and PRD reduced shoot growth, total dry matter production, dry weights of leaves, stem and roots, leaf relative water content (RWC) and stomatal conductance (g_s), but increased root to shoot ratio and irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) of coffee seedlings. Therefore, it was concluded that PRD is an effective deficit irrigation practice to increase IWUE and decrease irrigation water requirement by 50% without substantial adverse effects on plant growth and development, and it could be practically advantageous in coffee nurseries especially in areas of water scarcity and prolonged drought periods. The same study was carried out in the field to determine the effect of PRD on plant water relations and crop yield and quality of Arabica coffee. Results of the field experiment also indicated that leaf RWC, g_s, fruit growth rate and some yield components were reduced by both PRD and NDI. However, the difference between WW and PRD was not significant for crop yield and yet PRD resulted in over 41% more IWUE than the WW treatment, reduced the amount of irrigation water by 50% and considerably improved both raw and liquor quality of coffee beans. Hence, it was concluded that PRD can be a feasible irrigation strategy, which can save irrigation water, increase IWUE and maintain crop yield, and it appears to be more advantageous

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PERTUMBUHAN, KAITAN AIR, HASIL DAN KUALITI TANAMAN KOPI ARABICA TERHADAP KEKURANGAN AIR DAN PENGAIRAN DEFICIT

Oleh

TESFAYE SHIMBER GESSESE

February 2006

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Mohd. Razi Ismail, PhD

Fakulti : Pertanian

Kopi (*Coffea Arabica* L.) merupakan satu-satunya tanaman komoditi terpenting selepas petroleum dalam pasaran dunia. Ia memainkan peranan penting dalam ekonomi Ethiopia, yang menyumbang lebih 60% pertukaran pendapatan bangsa asing, 30% pulangan langsung kerajaan, 8% keluaran sektor pertanian dan 4% pulangan langsung pengeluaran kerajaan. Halangan utama tanaman ini dalam ekonomi Negara adalah purata hasil penduduk yang sangat rendah terutamanya disebabkan oleh teknologi pengeluaran secara tradisional. Ciri-ciri pokok asing yang diwarisi, musim kekurangan air dan kemarau yang berulang merupakan faktor utama yang menyumbang kepada hasil tanaman yang rendah terutamanya tanamna kopi serantai di Negara ini. Dalam kajian ini, usaha dilakukan untuk mengenalpasti kultivar kopi Arabica yang tahan terhadap kekurangan air dan amalan pengurangan pengairan yang dapat memperbaiki pertumbuhan, hasil, kualiti dan keefisienan penggunaan air tanaman dalam keadaan persekitaran terkawal dan di lading di Ethiopia. Kajian di bawah rumah lindungan hujan dan di ladang dilakukan dengan rekabentuk rawak lengkap berblok dengan tiga kali ulangan dalam rumah lindungan hujan dan empat kali ulangan di ladang. Kajian pertama

dijalankan di dalam rumah lindungan hujan, sebanyak 24 kultivar yang diketahui, yang berasal dari negara ini, dikenakan rawatan untuk mengenalpasti genotaip yang tahan terhadap pengeringan tanah. Variasi antara kultivar yang mendapat purata tekanan, kadar pulih semula dari kemarau, nisbah akar ke pucuk, kepekatan larutan inorganic (K, Ca dan Mg) dalam daun, luas daun spesifik dan kadar ketahanan menunjukkan terdapat beberapa genotaip seperti 74110, 74112 dan 8/85, kurang sensitif terhadap kekurangan air pada tahap biji benih. Dalam keadaan lain, satu kajian di mana tiga regim pengairan (pengairan baik, WW, pengairan defisit biasa, NDI dan pengeringan sebahagian zon akar, PRD) dikaji ke atas kultivar F-59 yang ditanam di dalam rumah lindungan hujan dan didapati bahawa NDI and PRD mengurangkan pertumbuhan pucuk, jumlah penghasilan berat kering, berat kering daun, batang dan akar, kandungan relatif air daun (RWC) dan kondultiviti stomata (g_s), tetapi meningkatkan nisbah akar ke pucuk dan pengairan air secara efisien pada biji benih kopi. Oleh itu, disimpulkan bahawa PRD merupakan amalan pengairan deficit yang efektif untuk meningkatkan IWUE dan mengurangkan keperluan pengairan air kepada 50% tanpa kesan kerugian yang banyak ke atas perkembangan dan pertumbuhan tanaman dan ia boleh menjadi amalan yang berfaedah dalam tapak semaian kopi terutamanya dalam kawasan kekurangan air dan tempoh kemarau yang panjang. Kajian yang sama juga dijalankan di ladang untuk mengenalpasti kesan PRD ke atas perkaitan air tanaman, hasil tanaman dan kualiti kopi Arabica. Keputusan kajian di ladang juga menunjukkan kandungan relatif air daun, g_s, kadar pertumbuhan buah dan beberapa komponen hasil berkurangan dengan rawatan PRD dan NDI. Walau bagaimanapun, tiada perbezaan yang bererti antara WW and PRD bagi hasil tanaman dan keputusan menunjukkan lebih 40% lebih IWUE dari rawatan WW, mengurangkan jumlah pengairan air sebanyak 50% dan peningkatan yang tinggi

terhadap kualiti bahan mentah dan kualiti minuman biji kopi. Dengan itu, disimpulkan bahawa strategi pengaran secara PRD boleh dilaksanakan untuk menjimatkan pengairan air, meningkatkan hasil tanaman dan ia dilihat lebih banyak memberi faedah berbanding NDI untuk pengeluaran kopi terutamanya dalam kawasan kekurangan air dan jangkamasa kering yang yang panjang. Dalam kajian yang lain, kesan pemberian pengairan yang kurang ke atas perkaitan air tanaman, hasil tanaman dan kualiti di kaji di ladang menggunakan anak benih kopi yang terdiri daripada kultivar (F-59, 74110 dan 75227). Dua rawatan pengairan iaitu pemberian pengairan penuh (SFI) dan pemberian pengairan kurang (SDI) diaplikasikan mengikut kaedah yang biasa diamalkan, dibandingan dengan air hujan (RF) sebagai kawalan. SFI secara tetap meningkatkan kandungan lembapan tanah, kandungan relatif air dan g_s sepanjang jangkamasa kering, tetapi tiada beza secara bererti dengan rawatan dalam musim lembap yang utama. Di samping itu, SFI meningkatkan hasil kopi secara bererti, tetapi perbezan antara SFI dan SDI tidak berbeza secara bererti dengan SDI memperoleh 21-24% faedah hasil lebih daripara rawatan RF. Dengan erti kata lain, kualiti keseluruhan biji kopi telah meningkat dengan banyak dengan rawatan SFI dan RF. Sementara itu, SDI kelihatan lebih efektif berbnading SFI dan ia boleh digunakan sebagai pilihan selain daripada PRD untuk pengeluaran kopi dalam kawasan kering.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deep gratitude goes to the chairman of my supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Razi Bin Ismail for his invaluable advice, constant guidance and encouragement throughout execution of the experiments and write-up of the manuscript. I am also indebted to my supervisory committee members, Professor Marziah Mahmood and Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Fauzi Ramlan for their unreserved guidance, constructive criticism and encouragement in the course of my study.

I am grateful to the staff and technicians of Hydroponics Unit and Plant Physiology Section of Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, UPM. I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Mr. Ismail Bin Iberahim, Dr. Hassan Ibrahim Ali and Mrs. Siti Zaharah for their encouragement and technical assistance. I am also grateful to my friends and Ethiopian colleagues for their encouragement and technical assistance in the course of my study in Malaysia.

Special thanks are due to Ato Million Abebe for his support and encouragement in the course of my study. I fully acknowledge Ato Alemseged Yilma, Ato Teshome Kumela, Ato Bekele Bogale, Ato Shimelis W/Ab, Ato Nigussie Mekonen, Ato Behailu W/Senbet, W/o Elfinesh Triro and Ato Dereje G/Georgis for their unceasing support and technical assistance in the field and laboratory activities in Ethiopia. The unreserved support and constant encouragement of my friends Alazar Assefa, Demelash Teferi, Worku Demmissie and Aregash Samuel is greatly appreciated.

The completion of this work would have been impossible without the endless patience and dedication of my children, Emenet Tesfaye, Amanuel Tesfaye and Metsinanat Tesfaye, and my wife W/o Sara Alemu to endure loneliness in my absence.

I express my sincere appreciation to the Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization (EARO), Agricultural Research and Training Project (ARTP) for sponsoring my study and the Jima Agricultural Research Center (JARC) for its unreserved assistance in providing me with the necessary materials, facility and manpower in the course of the study. I would like to express my gratitude to Sheno Agricultural Research Center for the provision of some important scientific equipments, mainly porometer, in Ethiopia.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 16th February 2006 to conduct the final examination of Tesfaye Shimber Gessese on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Growth, Water Relation, Yield and Crop Quality of Arabica Coffee in Response to Water Stress and Deficit Irrigation" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Mahmud T. Muda Muhamed, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chaiman)

Mohd Ridzwan Abd Halim, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Mohd Rafii Bin Hj. Yusop, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

H.C. Skip Bittenbender, PhD
Professor
College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources
University of Hawaii, Manoa
(Independent Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD
Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:
27 MAR 2006

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Mohd Razi Bin Ismail, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Marziah Mahmood, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Fauzi Bin Ramlan, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



AINI IDERIS, PhD
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 APR 2006

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



TESFAYE SHIMBER GESSESE

Date: 21-3-2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xxiv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Water Deficit and Leaf Gas Exchange	8
2.1.1 Plant Water Status	8
2.1.2 Stomatal Behavior	13
2.2 Accumulation of Inorganic Solutes	16
2.3 Plant Growth Responses to Drought	19
2.3.1 Shoot and Root Extension Growth	19
2.3.2 Dry Matter Yield and Partitioning	26
2.3.3 Crop Yield and Quality	29
2.4 Deficit Irrigation and Crop Productivity	33
2.4.1 The Need for Deficit Irrigation	33
2.4.2 Deficit Irrigation Techniques	34
2.4.3 Plant Response to Deficit Irrigation	35
3 GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	42
3.1 Determination of Field Capacity of Soils	42
3.2 Measurement of Plant Water Status	43
3.2.1 Relative Leaf Water Content	43
3.2.2 Stomatal Conductance	44
3.3 Vegetative Growth Parameters	44
3.3.1 Leaf Growth	44
3.3.2 Stem and Branch Growth	45
3.3.3 Root Growth	45
3.4 Dry Matter Production	46
3.4.1 Total Dry Matter Yield	46
3.4.2 Dry Matter Partitioning	46
3.5 Crop Yield and Yield Components	46
3.5.1 Fruit Growth	46
3.5.2 Crop Yield	47

3.6 Coffee Quality Determination 48
3.6.1 Coffee Processing 48
3.6.2 Grading and Roasting 48
3.6.3 Cup Preparation and Tasting 49
3.7 Measurement of Soil Moisture Content 50
3.8 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 51
3.9 Statistical Analysis 51

4 PHYSIOLOGICAL AND MORPHOLOGICAL RESPONSES OF ARABICA COFFEE (*COFFEA ARABICA* L.) GENOTYPES TO SOIL DRYING 53
4.1 Introduction 53
4.2 Materials and Methods 55
4.2.1 Plant Materials 55
4.2.2 Treatments and Plot Arrangement 56
4.2.3 Stress Rating 56
4.2.4 Rate of Survival and Leaf Shed 58
4.2.5 Rate of Recovery 58
4.2.6 Plant Water Relations 58
4.2.7 Determination of K, Ca and Mg in Coffee Leaves 59
4.2.8 Plant Growth Parameters 59
4.2.9 Statistical Analysis 60
4.3 Results 60
4.3.1 Stress Score 60
4.3.2 Percent Plant Wilting and Recovering 60
4.3.3 Rate of Survival and Leaf Shed 62
4.3.4 Overall Sensitivity 62
4.3.5 Leaf Relative Water Content 63
4.3.6 Stomatal Conductance 66
4.3.7 Leaf K, Ca and Mg Content 66
4.3.8 Leaf and Root Growth 67
4.3.9 Dry Matter Yield and Partitioning 72
4.3.10 Rate of Recovery 73
4.4 Discussion 76
4.4.1 Sensitivity to Water Stress 76
4.4.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 79
4.4.3 Stomatal Conductance 81
4.4.4 Leaf K, Ca and Mg Content 84
4.4.5 Leaf and Root Growth 87
4.4.6 Dry Matter Yield and Partitioning 91
4.4.7 Rate of Recovery 95
4.5 Conclusion 97

5 GROWTH AND PLANT WATER RELATIONS OF ARABICA COFFEE SEEDLINGS IN RESPONSE TO PARTIAL ROOTZONE DRYING 99
5.1 Introduction 99
5.2 Materials and Methods 100
5.2.1 Plant Material and Media Potting 100
5.2.2 Treatments and Experimental Design 102
5.2.3 Determination of Soil Moisture Content 103
5.2.4 Leaf Relative Water Content 103
5.2.5 Stomatal Conductance 103
5.2.6 Vegetative Growth Parameters 104
5.2.7 Dry Matter Production and Partitioning 104
5.2.8 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 104
5.2.9 Statistical Analysis 104
5.3 Results 105
5.3.1 Soil Moisture Content 105
5.3.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 105
5.3.3 Stomatal Conductance 106
5.3.4 Vegetative Growth 106
5.3.5 Dry Matter Production and Partitioning 111
5.3.6 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 112
5.4 Discussion 112
5.4.1 Soil Moisture Content 112
5.4.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 115
5.4.3 Stomatal Conductance 116
5.4.4 Vegetative Growth 118
5.4.5 Dry Matter Production and Partitioning 121
5.4.6 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 123
5.5 Conclusion 124

6 EFFECT OF PARTIAL ROOT ZONE DRYING ON PLANT WATER RELATIONS, CROP YIELD AND QUALITY OF ARABICA COFFEE 126
6.1 Introduction 126
6.2 Materials and Methods 127
6.2.1 Site Description 127
6.2.2 Plant Materials 129
6.2.3 Plot Arrangement 129
6.2.4 Irrigation Treatments 130
6.2.5 Measurement of Water Relations 131
6.2.6 Crop Yield and Quality Analysis 131
6.2.7 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 132
6.2.8 Statistical Analysis 132
6.3 Results 132
6.3.1 Soil Moisture Content 132
6.3.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 133
6.3.3 Stomatal Conductance 133
6.3.4 Fruit Growth Rate 133
6.3.5 Yield Components 134

6.3.6 Crop Yield 138
6.3.7 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 138
6.3.8 Crop Quality 142
6.4 Discussion 146
6.4.1 Soil Moisture Content 146
6.4.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 147
6.4.3 Stomatal Conductance 149
6.4.4 Fruit Growth 151
6.4.5 Yield Components 153
6.4.6 Crop Yield 156
6.4.7 Irrigation Water Use Efficiency 157
6.4.8 Crop Quality 158
6.5 Conclusion 161

7 PLANT WATER RELATIONS, CROP YIELD AND QUALITY OF ARABICA COFFEE AS AFFECTED BY SUPPLIMENTAL DEFICIT IRRIGATION 162
7.1 Introduction 162
7.2 Materials and Methods 163
7.2.1 Plant Materials 163
7.2.2 Plot Arrangement 163
7.2.3 Irrigation Treatments 165
7.2.4 Measurement of Water Relations 165
7.2.5 Crop Yield and Quality Analysis 165
7.2.6 Statistical Analysis 166
7.3 Results 166
7.3.1 Soil Moisture Content 166
7.3.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 168
7.3.3 Stomatal Conductance 168
7.3.4 Yield Components 168
7.3.5 Crop Yield 169
7.3.6 Crop Quality 172
7.4 Discussion 176
7.4.1 Soil Moisture Content 176
7.4.2 Leaf Relative Water Content 176
7.4.3 Stomatal Conductance 177
7.4.4 Crop Yield 180
7.4.5 Crop Quality 182
7.5 Conclusion 184

8 GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION 185
8.1 Discussion 185
8.2 Conclusion 203

REFERENCES 208
APPENDICES 225
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR 237

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Standard parameters and their respective values used for coffee quality evaluation	52
4.1	Mean stress score value for coffee cultivars under water-stressed (WS) condition on different days from start of treatment application	61
4.2	Mean percent plants wilting (PPW) at noon, rate of leaf shed (RLS) and rate of survival (RS) in coffee cultivars under water stress (WS) condition	64
4.3	Mean percent plants recovering (PPR) during the night time and mean days to complete wilting (MDCW) of plants of different coffee cultivars under water stress (WS) conditions	65
4.4	Relationship between leaf K, Ca and Mg concentration, SLA, total leaf area (TLA), root volume (RV), root:shoot ratio (RSR), stress score (SS) and rate of recovery (RR) (Correlation matrix using Pearson Correlation Coefficients)	76
5.1	Vegetative growth of coffee seedlings in response to varying irrigation regimes (WW = well-watered, PRD = partial root zone drying and NDI = normal deficit irrigation).	111
6.1	Effect of irrigation regime on raw and cup quality of coffee beans (WW = well-watering, PRD = partial root zone drying and NDI = normal deficit irrigation)	144
6.2	Size distribution (SD) and mean weight (MW) of coffee beans as affected by irrigation regime (WW = well-watering, PRD = partial root zone drying and NDI = normal deficit irrigation)	144
7.1	Effect of supplemental irrigation (supplemental full irrigation (SFI), supplemental deficit irrigation (SDI) and rain fed (RF)) on raw and cup quality of coffee beans	174
7.2	Size distribution (SD) and mean weight (MW) of coffee beans as affected by supplemental irrigation (supplemental full irrigation (SFI), supplemental deficit irrigation (SDI) and rain fed (RF)	174

A1 Analysis of Variance Procedure (Mean Square values) for the variables considered during screening of Arabica coffee cultivars for drought tolerance in a randomized complete block design in a rain shelter 225

A2 Analysis of Variance Procedure for variables used to study the mechanism of drought tolerance in selected Arabica coffee genotypes arranged in a randomized complete block design with two watering regimes and 12 cultivars in a rain shelter 226

A3 Analysis of Variance Procedure (Mean square values) for growth parameters of Arabica coffee seedlings under deficit irrigation treatments in randomized complete block design in a rain shelter 227

A4 Analysis of Variance Procedure (Mean square values) for coffee yield, yield components and crop quality of field deficit irrigation treatments in a randomized complete block design (Cv. F-59) 228

A5 Analysis of Variance Procedure (Mean Square values) for yield, yield components and crop quality of field supplemental irrigation experiment: Cv. F-59 in a randomized complete block design and Cv. 75227 and Cv. 74110 in a split plot design 229

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Picture depicting different growth stages of Arabica coffee fruits on branches of crop bearing trees	47
4.1	Screening Arabica coffee genotypes for drought tolerance in a rain shelter and the corresponding 1 – 5 scale stress score values	57
4.2	Leaf relative water content (RWC) of Arabica coffee cultivars as affected by soil drying (open symbols represent water-stressed and closed symbols well-watered plants).	68
4.3	Stomatal conductance (g_s) of Arabica coffee cultivars as affected by soil drying (open symbols represent water-stressed and closed symbols well-watered plants).	69
4.4	Concentration of potassium (K), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) ions in leaves of different coffee cultivars grown under well-watered and water-stressed conditions.	70
4.5	Mean leaf elongation rate (LER), total leaf area (LA), specific leaf area (SLA) and root volume of coffee seedlings under well-watered and water-stressed conditions.	71
4.6	Leaf, stem and root dry weight (DW) and total dry matter (TDM) yield of seedlings of different Arabica coffee cultivars under well-watered and water-stressed conditions.	73
4.7	Root:shoot ratio of seedlings of different Arabica coffee cultivars under well-watered and water-stressed conditions.	74
4.8	Percent reduction in total leaf area (TLA) and total dry matter yield (TDM) due to soil drying and rate of recovery after rewatering of seedlings of different Arabica coffee cultivars.	75
5.1	Picture depicting partial root zone drying (PRD) practice applied on Arabica coffee seedlings grown in a rain shelter	101
5.2	Soil moisture content (SMC) of potted coffee seedlings (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation in a rain shelter (WW = well-watered, NDI = normal deficit irrigation, PRD = partial root zone drying).	107
5.3	Leaf relative water content (RWC) of coffee seedlings (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation in a rain shelter (WW = well-watered, NDI = normal deficit irrigation, PRD = partial root zone drying).	108

5.4 Stomatal conductance (g_s) of coffee seedlings (cv. F-59) grown in a rain shelter as affected by deficit irrigation (WW = well-watered, NDI = normal deficit irrigation, PRD = partial root zone drying). 109

5.5 Leaf elongation rate (LER) in coffee seedlings (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation in a rain shelter (WW = well-watered, PRD = partial root zone drying, and NDI = normal deficit irrigation). 110

5.6 Total dry matter (TDM) yield and its partitioning among leaves, stem and roots, root:shoot ratio and irrigation water use efficiency (IWUE) of coffee seedlings (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation (WW = well-watered, PRD = partial root zone drying, and NDI = normal deficit irrigation). 113

6.1 Weekly mean air temperature, total rainfall and mean relative humidity at JARC (measurements were taken between September 8, 2003 and September 2, 2004). 128

6.2 Picture depicting field partial root zone drying (PRD) practice on young Arabica coffee trees 130

6.3 Soil moisture content as affected by deficit irrigation in a coffee stand (cv. F-59) (WW = well watering, NDI = normal deficit irrigation and PRD = partial root zone drying). 135

6.4 Leaf relative water content (RWC) of coffee plants (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation (WW = well watering, NDI = normal deficit irrigation and PRD = partial root zone drying). 136

6.5 Stomatal conductance (g_s) of coffee plants (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation (WW = well watering, NDI = normal deficit irrigation and PRD = partial root zone drying). 137

6.6 Effect of deficit irrigation on growth and development of coffee berries. Fruit growth stages involve pin head stage (PHS); rapid fruit growth stage (RFGS); endosperm growth stage (ESGS) and endosperm hardening stage (ESHS) on three measurement occasions (I. 10, II. 16, III. 22 weeks after the commencement of treatment application). Irrigation treatments were well-watered (WW) control; partial root zone drying (PRD) and normal deficit irrigation (NDI). 139

6.7 Yield components of Arabica coffee (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation (WW = well-watered; PRD = partial root zone drying and NDI = normal deficit irrigation): a) number of flowering branches tree^{-1} (NFRBPT); b) number of flowers branch^{-1} (NFLPB); c) number of fruits branch^{-1} (NFRPB); d) flower to fruit ratio (FLFRR); e) number of fruits tree^{-1} (NFRPT) and, f) fresh cherry yield (FCY). 140

6.8 Effect of irrigation regime on fruit loss at different berry development stages in Arabica coffee (cv. F-59): a) loss of fruit set after flowering, b) loss of fruits during pin head stage, c) loss of fruits at rapid growth stage, d) loss of fruits during endosperm growth stage, e) loss of fruit set from flowering up to endosperm development, and f) loss of fruits from pin head to endosperm development stage. 141

6.9 Fresh cherry yield and irrigation-water-use efficiency (IWUE) of coffee plants (cv. F-59) as affected by deficit irrigation: well-watered (WW); partial root zone drying (PRD) and normal deficit irrigation (NDI). 143

6.10 Overall raw and liquor and total quality of coffee beans as affected by different irrigation treatments: well-watered (WW), partial root zone drying (PRD) and normal deficit irrigation (NDI). 145

7.1 Picture depicting field plot arrangement for conventional deficit irrigation practice on young Arabica coffee trees 164

7.2 Soil moisture content (SMC) as affected by supplemental irrigation in a coffee stand (cv. F-59) during the dry season (SFI = full irrigation when the soil moisture content declines to < 35% of FC, SDI = supplemental deficit irrigation with half of the amount applied to SFI, RF = rain fed control). 167

7.3 Leaf relative water content (RWC) as affected by supplemental irrigation in a coffee stand (cv. F-59) during the dry season (SFI = full irrigation when the soil moisture content declines to < 35% of FC, SDI = supplemental deficit irrigation with half of the amount applied to SFI, RF = rain fed control). 169

7.4 Stomatal conductance (g_s) of coffee plants (cv. F-59) as affected by supplemental irrigation during the dry season (SFI = full irrigation when the soil moisture content declines to < 35% of FC, SDI = supplemental deficit irrigation with half of the amount applied to SFI, RF = rain fed control). 170

7.5 Effect of conventional irrigation on number of fruits and fresh cherry yield tree^{-1} of two coffee cultivars (cv. 75227 and cv. 74110). Irrigation treatments involved supplemental deficit irrigation (SDI) and rain fed (RF). 171

7.6 Effect of conventional irrigation (supplemental full irrigation (SFI), supplemental deficit irrigation (SDI) and rain fed (RF)) on yield of three coffee cultivars (cv. F-59, 75227 and 74110). 173

7.7 Overall raw and liquor and total qualities of coffee beans as affected by conventional irrigation practices: supplemental full irrigation (SFI), supplemental deficit irrigation (SDI) and rain-fed (RF) treatments. 175

A1 Screening Arabica coffee genotypes for drought tolerance in a rain shelter at JARC, Ethiopia (plot arrangement) 230

A2 Picture depicting partial root zone drying (PRD) practice applied on Arabica coffee seedlings grown in a rain shelter 231

A3 Growth differences between coffee seedlings under well-watered (WW), partial root zone drying (PRD) and normal deficit irrigation (NDI) conditions 232

A4 Pictures depicting field partial root zone drying (PRD) practice on young Arabica coffee trees 233

A5 Picture depicting field conventional deficit irrigation practice on young Arabica coffee trees 234

A6 Pictures depicting different growth stages of Arabica Coffee fruits on the same crop bearing tree 235

A7 Coffee beans at different processing stages, cup preparation and cup tasting for liquor quality of coffee samples harvested from different field irrigation treatments 236

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

LWP	Leaf water potential
g_s	Stomatal conductance
P_N	Rate of photosynthesis
ABA	Abscisic acid
Ca	Calcium
Mg	Magnesium
K	Potassium
N	Nitrogen
RWC	Relative water content
LER	Leaf elongation rate
DW	Dry weight
TDM	Total dry matter
TLA	Total leaf area
SLA	Specific leaf area
OA	Osmotic adjustment
MPa	Mega paskal
PRD	Partial root-zone drying
WW	Well watering (Well-watered)
NDI	Normal deficit irrigation
DI	Deficit irrigation
FC	Field capacity
SMC	Soil moisture content
IWUE	Irrigation water use efficiency